arXiv:1404.5452v1 [math.AP] 22 Apr 2014

# ON FRACTIONAL $p$-LAPLACIAN PROBLEMS WITH WEIGHT

RAQUEL LEHRER, LILIANE A. MAIA, AND MARCO SQUASSINA

Abstract. We investigate the existence of nonnegative solutions for a nonlinear problem involving the fractional $p$-Laplacian operator. The problem is set on a unbounded domain, and compactness issues have to be handled.

## 1. Introduction

The interest for the fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^s$ and more generally pseudodifferential operators, has constantly increased over the last few years, although such operators have been a classical topic of functional analysis since long ago. Nonlocal operators such as $(-\Delta)^s$ naturally arise in continuum mechanics, phase transition phenomena, population dynamics and game theory, as they are the typical outcome of stochastical stabilization of Lévy processes, see [8,18,20] and the references therein. We refer the reader to [12] and to the reference included for a selfcontained overview of the basic properties of fractional Sobolev spaces. If $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain, for semi-linear problems like

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = f(x,u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

existence, nonexistence, regularity and maximum principles have been intensively investigated, see [6, 7, 9, 21–25] and the references therein. When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, we refer the reader to [10, 13] where weak solutions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are studied. More recently, for $p > 1$, $s \in (0,1)$ and $N > sp$, motivated by some situations arising in game theory, a nonlinear generalization of this operator has been introduced, see [2, 8]. Precisely, for smooth functions $u$ define

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u(x) := 2 \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}\,(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This nonlinear operator is consistent, up to some normalization constant depending upon $n$ and $s$, with the linear fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ in the case $p = 2$. A broad range of existence and multiplicity results for the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = f(x,u), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

has been recently obtained in [16] via tools of Morse theory under different growth assumptions for $f(x,u)$. We refer to [14, 15, 19] for the case $f(x,u) = \lambda |u|^{p-2}u$ and the study of properties of (variational) nonlinear eigenvalues, including their asymptotic behaviour.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A08, 35Q40, 58E05.

*Key words and phrases.* $p$-Fractional laplacian, loss of compactness, problems with weight.

The first author is supported by CCET/UNIOESTE. The second author is supported by CNPqPQ 306388/2011-1, PROEX/CAPES and FAPDF. The third author is supported by MIUR project: "*Variational and Topological Methods in the Study of Nonlinear Phenomena*". The work was partially carried out during a stay of Marco Squassina in Brasilia. He would like to express his gratitude to the Departamento de Matemática for the warm hospitality.

In this paper, we are concerned with existence of solutions of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = \varphi(x) f(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \geqslant 0, \ \ u \neq 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

In the local case, formally $s = 1$, necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the problem $-\Delta u = \varphi(x) u^q$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $0 < q < 1$ were investigated in [5], see also [4]. Under some sign condition on $\varphi$ the problem with $s = 1$ and $p > 1$, which thus involves the $p$-Laplace operator $\Delta_p = \mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ was investigated in [1], see also [11]. If $F(u) := \int_0^u f(s)ds$, the (formal) Pohožaev identity for solutions $u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of problem (1.1) is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \big((N - sp)\varphi(x) f(u)u - pN\varphi(x)F(u) - px \cdot \nabla\varphi(x)F(u)\big) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

A rigorous justification of (1.2) for $p \neq 2$ is still unavailable due to the lack of suitable regularity results, while in the case $p = 2$, (1.2) has been recently proved in [22], see also [10, 23]. For the case $f(u) = u^q$, the identity yields nonexistence of solutions $u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ provided that

$$x \mapsto (N - sp)\varphi(x) - \frac{pN}{q+1}\varphi(x) - \frac{p}{q+1} x \cdot \nabla\varphi(x) \quad \text{has fixed sign in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then, in particular case where $\varphi$ is constant $u = 0$ as soon as $q \neq p_s^* - 1$, where we set

$$p_s^* := \frac{Np}{N - sp}.$$

Hence, in general, it is natural to impose conditions on $\varphi$ in order to obtain nontrivial solutions. We will assume that $p > 1$, $\varphi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- $(f_1)$ $f(s) \geqslant 0$, for all $s \geqslant 0$;
- $(f_2)$ $\mu s^q \leqslant f(s) \leqslant cs^q$ , for all $s \geqslant 0$, some $p - 1 < q < p_s^* - 1$ and $c, \mu > 0$;
- $(f_3)$ there exists $m < p$ such that

$$0 \leqslant (q+1)F(s) - f(s)s \leqslant Cs^m, \quad \text{for all } s \geqslant 0 \text{ and some } C > 0;$$
$$0 \leqslant f(s)s - pF(s) \leqslant Cs^{q+1}, \quad \text{for all } s \geqslant 0.$$

- (W) $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} \varphi \leqslant 0 < \inf_{\omega} \varphi$ for some bounded domains $\omega, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\omega \subset \Omega$.

In addition to $f(s) := s^q$ for $s \geqslant 0$, another example of nonlinearity satisfying $(f_1)$-$(f_3)$ is

$$f(s) := \begin{cases} 2s^q, & 0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1, \\ s^q + s^{m-1}, & s \geqslant 1, \end{cases} \qquad m < p < q + 1.$$

The main result of the paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that* $(W)$ *and* $(f_1)$-$(f_3)$ *hold. Then problem* (1.1) *has a distributional solution, namely there exists a function* $u \in L^{Np/(N-sp)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ *with* $u \geqslant 0$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} < \infty,$$

*and*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x) f(u)\psi,$$

*for every* $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. *The same holds if* $(W)$ *and* $(f_1)$ *hold and* $(f_2)$ *holds with* $0 \leqslant q < p-1$.

We point out that the result is new also for the semi-linear case $p = 2$, $1 < q < 2_s^* - 1$ and $N \geqslant 2$, establishing existence of a nonnegative distributional solution $u \in D^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for

$$(-\Delta)^s u = \varphi(x) f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

In general, it is not guaranteed that the *distributional* solution $u$ of Theorem 1.1 belongs to the fractional space $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is $u \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ might occur. Moreover, if the solution $u \geqslant 0$ of Theorem 1.1 is a *weak* solution to (1.1), as pointed out in [16, Proposition 2.2] (see also [3, Theorem A.1] holding for supersolutions for further details), actually $u > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows the pattern of [1], namely nontrivial nonnegative solutions $u_n$ are constructed for the problem defined on a sequence of balls $B(0, R_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $u_n = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R_n)$, with $R_n \nearrow \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, relying on uniform estimates, the sequence is shown to converge weakly to a nontrivial distributional solution to (1.1). Both in getting uniform estimates and in proving the nontriviality of the weak limit, the fact that $\varphi(x) \leqslant 0$ outside a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$ plays a crucial role.

## 2. Preliminary results

The space $D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by

$$D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \Big\{ u \in L^{\frac{Np}{N-sp}}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_{D^{s,p}} < \infty \Big\}, \quad \|u\|_{D^{s,p}} := \Big( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} \Big)^{1/p}.$$

Endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{D^{s,p}}$ the space $D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space. From [12, Theorem 6.5], we know that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leqslant C \|u\|_{D^{s,p}}, \quad \text{for every } u \in D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{2.1}$$

and $D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is embedded into $L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for every $1 \leqslant q \leqslant p_s^*$. We observe that, in general, the integral $\varphi F(u)$ may not belong to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $\varphi \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, we shall consider a sequence of diverging radii $R_n > 0$ and the spaces

$$X_n := \big\{ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, R_n) \big\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_n} := \|u\|_{D^{s,p}}, \quad u \in X_n, \tag{2.2}$$

and the functionals $J_n : X_n \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$J_n(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) F(u^+), \quad u \in X_n.$$

We stress that, by means of (2.1) and Hölder inequality, the norm defined in (2.2) is equivalent (with constants depending on the value of $n$) to the standard norm in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, namely $\|u\|_{W^{s,p}} = (\|u\|_p^p + \|u\|_{D^{s,p}}^p)^{1/p}$. We can check that $J_n \in C^1(X_n, \mathbb{R})$ and, for $u, v \in X_n$,

$$J_n'(u)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u^+) v.$$

The truncation with $u^+ := \max\{u, 0\}$ in the nonlinearity will allow critical points of $J_n$ be automatically nonnegative, see Lemma 2.2.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that all the balls $B(0, R_n)$ contain the domain $\Omega$ for each $n \geqslant 1$ large enough.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For every $n \geqslant 1$ the functional $J_n$ is weakly lower semi-continuous on $X_n$.*

*Proof.* If $(u_j) \subset X_n$ converges weakly to some $u$ in $X_n$ as $j \to \infty$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} \leqslant \liminf_{j\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x)-u_j(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}.$$

Since $(u_j)$ is bounded in $L^p(B(0,R_n))$ via inequality (2.1), the compact embedding theorem for fractional Sobolev spaces [12, Corollary 7.2] implies that, up to a subsequence, the sequence $(u_j)$ converges strongly to $u$ in $L^r(B(0,R_n))$, for every $1 \leqslant r < p_s^*$ and $u_j(x) \to u(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. In turn, since by condition $(f_2)$ there exists a positive constant $C_n > 0$ with

$$|\varphi(x)F(u_j^+)|\chi_{B(0,R_n)} \leqslant C_n|u_j|^{q+1}, \quad (q+1 < p_s^*),$$

we get by the Dominated Convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)F(u_j^+) = \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)F(u^+).$$

This concludes the proof. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *If $J_n'(u) = 0$, for $u \in X_n$. Then $u \geqslant 0$.*

*Proof.* Observe first that if $u \in X_n$, then $u^\pm \in X_n$, where $u^\pm := \max\{\pm u, 0\}$. We have

$$\int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u^+)u^- = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We recall the elementary inequality

$$|\xi^- - \eta^-|^p \leqslant |\xi-\eta|^{p-2}(\xi-\eta)(\eta^- - \xi^-), \quad \text{for every } \xi,\eta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, recalling (2.3), by testing $J_n'$ with $-u^- \in X_n$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = J_n'(u)(-u^-) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))(u^-(y)-u^-(x))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} \\ &\geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u^-(x)-u^-(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $u^-$ is constant in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and since $u^-$ vanishes outside $B(0,R_n)$, it follows that $u^- = 0$. Hence, $u \geqslant 0$ a.e., concluding the proof. □

In the next two lemmas, we consider the case where $(f_2)$ is satisfied with $q+1 < p$.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume $(W)$, $(f_1)$ and $(f_2)$ with $q+1 < p$. Then, for each $n \geqslant 1$, there exists a nonnegative critical point $u_n \in X_n \setminus \{0\}$ of $J_n$ such that*

$$J_n(u_n) = \inf_{X_n} J_n < 0.$$

*Proof.* By virtue of condition $(f_2)$, we have the following inequality

$$\int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)F(u^+) \leqslant c \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)|u^+|^{q+1}.$$

By applying Hölder inequality with $\vartheta := \frac{p_s^*}{p_s^*-(q+1)}$ and $\alpha := \frac{p_s^*}{q+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)|u^+|^{q+1} &\leqslant \|\varphi\|_{L^\vartheta(B(0,R_n))}\|u\|^{q+1}_{L^{p_s^*}(B(0,R_n))} \\ &= \|\varphi\|_{L^\vartheta(B(0,R_n))}\|u\|^{q+1}_{L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leqslant C_n\|u\|^{q+1}_{D^{s,p}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_n > 0$. Then, by using this estimate on $J_n$, we obtain

$$J_n(u) \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - C_n\|u\|^{q+1}_{D^{s,p}}.$$

Since $q+1 < p$, and recalling the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{X_n}$, we conclude that $J_n(u) \to +\infty$ when $\|u\|_{X_n} \to \infty$, since $p > q+1$, namely $J_n$ is coercive on $X_n$. Whence, taking into account Lemma 2.1, by a standard argument of the Calculus of Variations, there exists $u_n \in X_n$ such that $J_n(u_n) = \inf_{X_n} J_n$, which is a critical point of $J_n$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $u_n \geqslant 0$ a.e. Now, we take $\zeta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\zeta) \subset \omega$. Then using $(f_2)$ again, we obtain

$$J_n(t\zeta) \leqslant \frac{t^p\|\zeta\|^p_{D^{s,p}}}{p} - \mu t^{q+1}\int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)|\zeta|^{q+1} = \frac{t^p\|\zeta\|^p_{D^{s,p}}}{p} - \mu t^{q+1}\int_{\omega} \varphi(x)|\zeta|^{q+1}.$$

Since $\inf_\omega \varphi > 0$ we have $\int_\omega \varphi(x)|\zeta|^{q+1} > 0$ and we can conclude that there exists $t_n > 0$ small enough that $J_n(t_n\zeta) < 0$. Since $t_n\zeta \in X_n$, we conclude the proof. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume $(W)$, $(f_1)$ and $(f_2)$ with $q+1 < p$. Let, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_n \in X_n \setminus \{0\}$ be the nonnegative critical point of $J_n$ obtained in Lemma 2.3. Then there exist two constants $c < 0$ and $M > 0$, independent of $n$, such that:*

*(i)* $\sup_{n\geqslant 1} J_n(u_n) \leqslant c$.
*(ii)* $\sup_{n\geqslant 1} \|u_n\|_{X_n} \leqslant M$.

*Proof.* Taking into account that $u_n \geqslant 0$, that $\omega \subset \Omega \subset B(0,R_n)$ and by assumption $(W)$,

$$\int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)F(u_n) = \int_\Omega \varphi(x)F(u_n) + \int_{B(0,R_n)\setminus\Omega} \varphi(x)F(u_n) \leqslant \int_\Omega \varphi(x)F(u_n).$$

Hence, in turn, we get

$$J_n(u_n) \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\|u_n\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - \int_\Omega \varphi(x)F(u_n) \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\|u_n\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - C\|u_n\|^{q+1}_{D^{s,p}}, \tag{2.4}$$

where Hölder inequality was used as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 but here the positive constant $C := \delta\|\varphi\|_{L^\vartheta(\Omega)}$, for some $\delta = \delta(\Omega) > 0$, is independent of $n \geqslant 1$. We also have, by arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, that for a $\zeta \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{supp}(\zeta) \subset \omega$,

$$J_n(\tau\zeta) \leqslant c, \qquad c := \frac{\tau^p\|\zeta\|^p_{D^{s,p}}}{p} - \mu\tau^{q+1}\int_\omega \varphi(x)|\zeta|^{q+1} < 0.$$

for some $\tau > 0$ small enough and independent of $n \geqslant 1$. Thus, we get

$$\sup_{n\geqslant 1} J_n(u_n) = \sup_{n\geqslant 1}\inf_{X_n} J_n \leqslant \sup_{n\geqslant 1} J_n(\tau\zeta) \leqslant c < 0.$$

This proves (i). By means of inequality (2.4), inequality (ii) immediately follows otherwise a contradiction follows by the condition $q+1 < p$. □

We now turn to the case $p < q+1$, where $\varphi$ and $f$ satisfy $(W)$ and $(f_i)$ respectively.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Assume that $(W)$ and $(f_1)$-$(f_3)$ hold. Then there exist $\rho, r > 0$ and a function $\psi \in X_n \setminus \{0\}$, independent of $n \geqslant 1$, with $\|\psi\|_{X_n} > \rho$ such that*

*(i)* $J_n(u) \geqslant r$, *for every $u \in X_n$ with $\|u\|_{X_n} = \rho$ and all $n \geqslant 1$;*
*(ii)* $J_n(\psi) \leqslant 0$, *for all $n \geqslant 1$.*

*Proof.* We have, arguing as in Lemma 2.4, that for all $u \in X_n$

$$J_n(u) \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\|u\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - C\|u\|^{q+1}_{D^{s,p}},$$

with $C$ independent of $n \geqslant 1$. Take $\rho > 0$ such that $\rho^{q-p+1} < 1/2pC$. Then, if $\|u\|_{D^{s,p}} = \rho$, we obtain $J_n(u) \geqslant r$, with $r := \rho^p/2p > 0$. On the other hand, as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, there exists some $t_0 > 0$ (this time large enough) independent of $n \geqslant 1$ such that $J_n(t_0\zeta) \leqslant 0$ and taking $\psi := t_0\zeta$ we have $J_n(\psi) \leqslant 0$. Up to reducing $\rho$, we also get $\|\psi\|_{D^{s,p}} = t_0\|\zeta\|_{D^{s,p}} > \rho$. □

By Lemma 2.5, we can define, for each $n \geqslant 1$, the min-max level for $J_n$:

$$c_n := \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_n}\max_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1} J_n(\gamma(t)), \qquad \Gamma_n := \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X_n); \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = \psi\}.$$

Using the fact that $X_n \subset X_{n+1}$ we actually have

$$c_1 \geqslant c_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant c_n \geqslant \cdots \geqslant r > 0,$$

so that in particular $c_n \to c$, for some $c \geqslant r > 0$.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Assume that $(W)$ and $(f_1)$-$(f_3)$ hold. Then the functional $J_n$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$-condition, for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $n \geqslant 1$.*

*Proof.* Suppose now that $J_n(u_j) \to c$ and $J_n'(u_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Then we can write

$$c + o_j(1) = \frac{\|u_j\|^p_{D^{s,p}}}{p} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)F(u_j^+), \tag{2.5}$$

$$o_j(1)\|u_j\|_{D^{s,p}} = \|u_j\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)f(u_j^+)u_j. \tag{2.6}$$

By combining these identities, we obtain

$$\Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1}\Big)\|u_j\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)\Big(F(u_j^+) - \frac{f(u_j^+)u_j}{q+1}\Big) = c + o_j(1) + o_j(1)\|u_j\|_{D^{s,p}}.$$

In turn, on account of condition $(f_3)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)\Big(F(u_j^+) - \frac{f(u_j^+)u_j}{q+1}\Big) &\leqslant \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(B(0,R_n))}\int_{B(0,R_n)}\Big|F(u_j^+) - \frac{f(u_j^+)u_j}{q+1}\Big| \\ &\leqslant C_n\int_{B(0,R_n)}|u_j|^m \leqslant C_n\|u_j\|^m_{L^{p_s^*}} \leqslant C_n\|u_j\|^m_{D^{s,p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$c + o_j(1) + o_j(1)\|u_j\|_{D^{s,p}} \geqslant \Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1}\Big)\|u_j\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - C_n\|u_j\|^m_{D^{s,p}}.$$

Since $p > m$ and $q + 1 > p$, this implies that there exists $C(s, n, p, q, c) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{j\geqslant 1}\|u_j\|_{D^{s,p}} \leqslant C(s, n, p, q, c),$$

namely the sequence $(u_j)$ is bounded in $D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In turn, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $(u_j)$, such that $u_j \rightharpoonup u$ in $X_n$ as $j \to \infty$. We also have that $u_j \to u$ in $L^r(B(0, R_n))$, for any $1 \leqslant r < p_s^*$ by the compact embedding theorem [12, Corollary 7.2] and $u_j(x) \to u(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. For any $\psi \in X_n$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2}(u_j(x) - u_j(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} = \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)f(u_j^+)\psi + \langle J_n'(u_j), \psi\rangle.$$

For each $\psi \in X_n$ fixed, we have by dominated convergence

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u_j^+)\psi = \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u^+)\psi,$$

since there exists $\eta \in L^{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $|u_j| \leqslant \eta$ a.e. and, for some $C_n > 0$,

$$|\varphi(x) f(u_j^+)\psi \chi_{B(0,R_n)}| \leqslant C_n |u_j^+|^q |\psi| \leqslant C_n |\eta|^q |\psi| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{for all } j \geqslant 1.$$

Now, if $p'$ is the conjugate exponent to $p$, we have

$$\text{the sequence } \left( \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2}(u_j(x) - u_j(y))}{|x-y|^{(N+sp)/p'}} \right) \text{ is bounded in } L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$$

as well as

$$\frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2}(u_j(x) - u_j(y))}{|x-y|^{(N+sp)/p'}} \to \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))}{|x-y|^{(N+sp)/p'}} \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

Also, since $(\psi(x) - \psi(y))/|x-y|^{(N+sp)/p} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ we have (cf. [17, Lemma 4.8]) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2}(u_j(x) - u_j(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}$$

converges to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}.$$

This shows that $u \in X_n$ is a weak solution in $B(0,R_n)$, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(\psi(x) - \psi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} = \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u^+)\psi, \quad \forall \psi \in X_n. \tag{2.7}$$

Choosing $\psi = u$ in (2.7) and $\psi = u_j$ in the above equation for $J_n'(u_j)$ and since for $C_n > 0$,

$$|\varphi(x) f(u_j^+) u_j \chi_{B(0,R_n)}| \leqslant C_n |u_j|^{q+1} \leqslant C_n |\eta|^{q+1} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{for all } j \geqslant 1,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{D^{s,p}}^p &= \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u^+) u = \lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u_j^+) u_j \\ &= \lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \|u_j\|_{D^{s,p}}^p \end{aligned}$$

Since also $u_j \rightharpoonup u$, we can conclude that $u_j \to u$ in $X_n$, concluding the proof. □

We can finally state the following

**Lemma 2.7.** *Assume that* $(W)$ *and* $(f_1)$-$(f_3)$ *hold. Then, for each* $n \geqslant 1$, *the problem*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u = \varphi(x) f(u), & \text{in } B(0,R_n), \\ u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,R_n), \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

*admits a nontrivial nonnegative solution* $u_n \in X_n$.

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.2, 2.5 and 2.6, the assertion follows by the Mountain Pass Theorem. □

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Consider first the case where $(W)$ and $(f_1)$-$(f_3)$ hold. By virtue of Lemma 2.7, there exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset X_n \subset D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of nontrivial nonnegative weak solutions to problem (2.8) on the exhausting balls $B(0,R_n)$, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)-u_n(y)|^{p-2}(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\psi(x)-\psi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} = \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u_n)\psi, \tag{3.1}$$

for any $\psi \in D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $\psi \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,R_n)$. We claim that this sequence remains bounded in $D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In fact, for every $n \geqslant 1$, we can write

$$\frac{\|u_n\|^p_{D^{s,p}}}{p} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) F(u_n) = c_n, \quad \|u_n\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u_n) u_n = 0.$$

By combining these identities, we obtain

$$\Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1}\Big)\|u_n\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)\Big(F(u_n) - \frac{f(u_n)u_n}{q+1}\Big) = c_n.$$

In turn, on account of conditions $(f_3)$ and $(W)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)\Big(F(u_n) - \frac{f(u_n)u_n}{q+1}\Big) &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)\Big(F(u_n) - \frac{f(u_n)u_n}{q+1}\Big) \\
&+ \int_{B(0,R_n)\setminus\Omega} \varphi(x)\Big(F(u_n) - \frac{f(u_n)u_n}{q+1}\Big) \leqslant \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)\Big(F(u_n) - \frac{f(u_n)u_n}{q+1}\Big) \\
&\leqslant \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \Big|F(u_n) - \frac{f(u_n)u_n}{q+1}\Big| \leqslant C \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^m \leqslant C\|u_n\|^m_{L^{p^*_s}} \leqslant C\|u_n\|^m_{D^{s,p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(\Omega)$ is independent of $n \geqslant 1$, Therefore, we can conclude that

$$c_1 \geqslant c_n \geqslant \Big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q+1}\Big)\|u_n\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - C\|u_n\|^m_{D^{s,p}}.$$

Since $p > m$ and $q+1 > p$, the claim is proved. Then, there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $(u_n)$, such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$. We also have $u_n \to u$ in $L^r(K)$ for any bounded subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and all $1 \leqslant r < p^*_s$ by the compact embedding theorem [12, Corollary 7.2] and $u_n(x) \to u(x)$ for a.e. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, it follows that $u$ is a distributional weak solution to problem (1.1). In fact, let $\psi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and set $K := \mathrm{supt}(\psi)$. Then $\psi \in D^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\psi \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0,R_n)$, for $n \geqslant 1$ large enough. The left-hand side of (3.1) converges as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, by means of duality arguments. As far as the right-hand side is concerned, by dominated convergence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x) f(u_n)\psi &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_K \varphi(x) f(u_n)\psi \\
&= \int_K \varphi(x) f(u)\psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x) f(u)\psi,
\end{aligned}$$

since there exists $\eta \in L^q(K)$ such that $u_n \leqslant \eta$ a.e. in $K$ for all $n \geqslant 1$ and

$$|\varphi(x) f(u_n)\psi|\chi_K(x) \leqslant C u_n^q \chi_K(x) \leqslant C\eta^q \chi_K(x) \in L^1(K).$$

We will now show that $u \neq 0$. Taking $(W)$ and $(f_3)$ into account, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \frac{1}{p}\|u_n\|^p_{D^{s,p}} - \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)F(u_n) = \int_{B(0,R_n)} \varphi(x)\Big(\frac{f(u_n)u_n}{p} - F(u_n)\Big) \\ &= \int_\Omega \varphi(x)\Big(\frac{f(u_n)u_n}{p} - F(u_n)\Big) + \int_{B(0,R_n)\setminus\Omega} \varphi(x)\Big(\frac{f(u_n)u_n}{p} - F(u_n)\Big) \\ &\leqslant \int_\Omega \varphi(x)\Big(\frac{f(u_n)u_n}{p} - F(u_n)\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(c_n)$ is monotone and bounded from below by $r > 0$, we have $c_n \to c > 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Whence, assuming by contradiction that $u \equiv 0$, since $\Omega$ is bounded and, for all $n \geqslant 1$,

$$0 \leqslant (f(u_n)u_n - pF(u_n))\chi_\Omega \leqslant Cu_n^{q+1}\chi_\Omega \leqslant C\eta^{q+1}\chi_\Omega,$$

for some $\eta \in L^{q+1}(\Omega)$, we would obtain by dominated convergence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \varphi(x)\Big(\frac{1}{p}f(u_n)u_n - F(u_n)\Big) = \int_\Omega \varphi(x)\Big(\frac{1}{p}f(u)u - F(u)\Big) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $u \neq 0$ and the proof is complete under the first assumption. If instead condition $(f_2)$ holds for $0 \leqslant q < p-1$, then the proof follows in a similar way, in light of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4. □

## References


[1] C.O. Alves, J.V. Concalves, L.A. Maia, On quasi-linear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Abstract Appl. Anal.* **1** (1996), 341–484. 2, 3

[2] C. Bjorland, L.A. Caffarelli, A. Figalli, Non-local gradient dependent operators, *Adv. Math.* **230** (2012), 1859–1894. 1

[3] L. Brasco, G. Franzina, Convexity properties of Dirichlet integrals and Picone-type inequalities, preprint. 3

[4] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Some variational problems with lack of compactness, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math* **45** (1986), 165–201. 2

[5] H. Brezis, S. Kamin, Sublinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Manuscripta Math.* **74** (1992), 87–106. 2

[6] X. Cabré, Y. Sire, Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Nonlinear Analysis* **31** (2014), 23–53. 1

[7] X. Cabré, Y. Sire, Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians II: Existence, uniqueness, and qualitative properties of solutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear. 1

[8] L. Caffarelli, Nonlocal equations, drifts and games, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Abel Symposia **7** (2012), 37–52. 1

[9] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **32** (2007), 1245–1260. 1

[10] X. Chang, Z-Q. Wang, Ground state of scalar field equations involving a fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity, *Nonlinearity* **26** (2013), 479–494. 1, 2

[11] D. Costa, O. Miyagaki, Nontrivial solutions for perturbations of the $p$-Laplacian on unbounded domains, bf 193 (1995), 737–755. 2

[12] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136** (2012), 521–573. 1, 3, 4, 6, 8

[13] P. Felmer, A. Quaas, J. Tan, Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **142** (2012), 1237–1262. 1

[14] G. Franzina, G. Palatucci, Fractional $p$-eigenvalues, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma*, to appear. 1

[15] A. Iannizzotto, M. Squassina, Weyl-type laws for fractional $p$-eigenvalue problems *Asymptotic Anal.*, to appear. 1

[16] A. Iannizzotto, S. Liu, K. Perera, M. Squassina, Existence results for fractional $p$-Laplacian problems via Morse theory, preprint. 1, 3

[17] O. Kavian, Introduction á la théorie des points critiques, Springer-Verlag, 1991. 7

[18] N. Laskin, Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals, *Phys. Lett. A* **268** (2000), 298–305 1
[19] E. Lindgren, P. Lindqvist, Fractional eigenvalues, *Calc. Var. PDE* **49** (2014), 795–826. 1
[20] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, The restaurant at the random walk: recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics, *J. Phys. A* **37** (2004), 161–208. 1
[21] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, to appear. 1
[22] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, The Pohožaev identity for the fractional laplacian. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, to appear. 1, 2
[23] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, Nonexistence results for nonlocal equations with critical and supercritical nonlinearities, preprint. 1, 2
[24] R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci, Mountain pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **389** (2012), 887–898. 1
[25] R. Servadei, E. Valdinoci, The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear. 1

Centro de Ciencias Exatas e Tecnologicas
CCET, Unioeste
Cascavel-PR, Brazil
*E-mail address*: rlehrer@gmail.com

Departamento de Matematica
Universidade de Brasilia
Brasilia, Brazil
*E-mail address*: lilimaia@unb.br

Dipartimento di Informatica
Università degli Studi di Verona
Verona, Italy
*E-mail address*: marco.squassina@univr.it